

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

1414

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/593,913

Applicant(s)

Rabon Sergent

Examiner

Art Unit

Freeland et al.

1711



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic</li> </ul>	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory process.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This act	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) X Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) X Claims <u>1-33</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) All b) Some* c) None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14). Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
, i,	<b>E</b>
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/593,913 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-10, 13, and 18, drawn to a prepolymer, classified in class 560, subclass 25.
  - II. Claims 11, 12, 14-17, and 19-33, drawn to a cured prepolymer (cured polymer), classified in class 528, subclass 59.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a reactive component for the production of a polyurethane or polyisocyanurate foam, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 09/593,913 Art Unit: 1711 Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 3. separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, 5. the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Sergent whose telephone number is (703) 308-2982. R. Sergent September 29, 2001